Case-based audit and feedback around a decision aid improved antibiotic choice and duration for uncomplicated cystitis in primary care clinics
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ABSTRACT

Objectives The objective of our study was to evaluate the impact of a multifaceted stewardship intervention on adherence to evidence-based practice guidelines on treatment of uncomplicated cystitis in primary care. We hypothesised that our intervention would increase guideline adherence in terms of antibiotic choice and duration of treatment.

Design A preintervention and postintervention comparison with a contemporaneous control group was performed. During the first two study periods, we obtained baseline data and performed interviews exploring provider prescribing decisions for cystitis at both clinics. During the third period in the intervention clinic only, the intervention included a didactic lecture, a decision algorithm and audit and feedback. We used a difference-in-differences analysis to determine the effects of our intervention on the outcome and guideline adherence to antibiotic choice and duration.

Setting Two family medicine clinics (one intervention and one control) were included.

Participants All female patients with uncomplicated cystitis attending the study clinics between 2016 and 2019.

Results Our sample included 932 visits representing 812 unique patients with uncomplicated cystitis. The proportion of guideline-adherent antibiotic regimens increased during the intervention period (from 33.2% (95% CI 26.9 to 39.9) to 66.9% (95% CI 58.4 to 74.6) in the intervention site and from 5.3% (95% CI 2.3 to 10.1) to 17.0% (95% CI 9.9 to 26.6) in the control site). The increase in guideline adherence was greater in the intervention site compared with the control site with a difference-in-differences of 22 percentage points, p<0.001.

Conclusion A multifaceted intervention increased guideline adherence for antibiotic choice and duration in greater magnitude than similar trends at the control site. Future research is needed to facilitate scale-up and sustainability of case-based audit and feedback interventions in primary care.

INTRODUCTION

Antimicrobial resistance is a well-recognised threat to global health, with the USA alone accounting for 2.8 million antibiotic-resistant infections and 35,000 deaths each year.1 Increasing realisation of the need to minimise this public health threat can be seen in the efforts of regulatory bodies such as the Joint Commission, which recently established requirements for antimicrobial stewardship for ambulatory healthcare organisations, effective at the beginning of 2020.2 The new requirements mandate that such organisations provide resources to practitioners to promote appropriate antibiotic prescribing practices.1

Although most studies of outpatients have focused on implementing antibiotic
stewardship for upper respiratory infections, there is also a high prevalence of inappropriate antibiotic prescribing for urinary tract infections (UTIs) in primary care, including overuse of fluoroquinolones and longer duration of treatment than recommended by guidelines. A study in France found that only 20% of outpatient UTIs were treated with the guidelines-recommended drug, dose and duration. An Irish study found that only 55% of the antibiotic prescriptions for UTI in general practice were appropriate. Of 7738 outpatient encounters for UTI in Israel, 91% were treated with a longer duration of antibiotics than recommended by guidelines. A recent US study revealed that fluoroquinolones were the most commonly prescribed antibiotics for uncomplicated UTI, comprising up to 49% of prescriptions. Inappropriate use of fluoroquinolones is especially concerning because it promotes the emergence and spread of multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli* strain sequence type 131. In addition, continued overprescribing of fluoroquinolones for uncomplicated cystitis in patients with other treatment options is occurring in the USA despite two black-box warnings from the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for fluoroquinolones due to an association between their use and serious side effects. In addition, fluoroquinolones were associated with more central nervous system-related and gastrointestinal-related adverse events compared with other types of antimicrobials in a recent meta-analysis. Excessive treatment duration for uncomplicated cystitis is another common problem documented internationally. Current Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) guidelines recommend nitrofurantoin for 5 days, trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole for 3 days and a single dose of fosfomycin as the first-line regimens for uncomplicated cystitis. In our previous study in the same setting, most prescriptions for trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole, nitrofurantoin and fluoroquinolones had a treatment duration longer than recommended.

Unlike upper respiratory infections, which typically involve viral infections for which antibiotics are not indicated, a symptomatic UTI merits treatment with antibiotics, as recommended by the IDSA guidelines. Thus, the focus in implementing antibiotic stewardship for UTI needs to be optimisation of antibiotic choice and duration, which may present a different cognitive challenge for practitioners than deciding whether a patient needs antibiotics or not. One evidence-based strategy shown to be effective in implementing an antimicrobial stewardship for UTI in acute and long-term care settings is audit and feedback. Multiple strategies have been employed for implementing stewardship such as feedback to prescribers on antimicrobial consumption and antimicrobial stewardship committee. Based on our prior successful experience with audit and feedback in acute and long-term care, we implemented a multifaceted antimicrobial stewardship intervention using audit and feedback to improve compliance with acute cystitis guidelines in a family medicine setting (general practice). The objective of our study was to evaluate the impact of a multifaceted stewardship intervention on adherence to the evidence-based practice guidelines on treatment of uncomplicated cystitis in primary care. We hypothesised that our intervention would increase guideline adherence in terms of antibiotic choice and duration of treatment.

### METHODS

#### Study design and settings

We used a difference-in-differences study design to determine the effects of our stewardship intervention on adherence to the IDSA guidelines and recommendations from the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP) for treating acute cystitis and measuring antibiotic choice and duration. A preintervention and postintervention comparison with a contemporaneous control group from July 2016 to March 2019 was performed at two private, academically affiliated family medicine clinics in a large urban area. We chose two clinics (intervention and control sites) within the same private US healthcare system because they were similar in terms of patient populations, provider type (predominantly physicians with two physician assistants at each clinic) and electronic medical record (EMR) software. Table 1 shows clinic and prescriber characteristics at the intervention and control sites. Both sites provide preventive and acute care, behavioural health, nutrition services and onsite laboratories. All physicians, except for one, are board certified in family medicine. On average, 3248 appointments for a cohort of 19777 patients occur at these clinics each month. Patients in both clinics are predominantly women (58%) and Caucasian (54%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Clinic and prescriber characteristics of intervention and control sites*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervention site</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of physicians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board certified in family medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of physician assistants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTI antibiotics per 1000 office visits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Intervention and control sites are non-teaching clinics in a single academic medical centre; no clinical pharmacist in either clinic.
†One physician was board certified in internal medicine.

UTI, urinary tract infection.
Study population

The study population included all patients with acute uncomplicated cystitis at the intervention and control sites. Inclusion criteria for uncomplicated cystitis required that participants be women ≥18 years who had International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) codes (N30.0, acute cystitis; N30.9, cystitis unspecified; and N39.0 UTI site not specified) for UTI listed as a diagnosis in the EMR system (Epic Clarity database). In addition to a UTI-related diagnosis, patients must have also been prescribed a UTI-relevant antibiotic during the same visit. UTI-relevant antibiotics included fluoroquinolones, nitrofurantoin, fosfomycin, trimethoprim alone or in combination with sulfamethoxazole, beta-lactams and aminoglycosides. The electronic algorithm, using ICD-10 UTI diagnosis codes paired with medication data to identify patients with UTI, was validated in the same setting.26 Visits that met criteria for complicated UTI or had recorded signs or symptoms of pyelonephritis were excluded (eg, an additional code for genitourinary abnormalities or recorded fever, defined as ≥100.4°F or 38°C). We also excluded five patients who had allergies to both nitrofurantoin and sulfa-containing antibiotics and those prescribed long-term antibiotics indicating prophylaxis for recurrent UTI (figure 1).

For each eligible visit, we extracted the following variables: patient age, race, comorbidities (Charlson Comorbidity Score), antibiotic allergies, type of antibiotic prescribed and duration of treatment. If a patient returned to the clinic within 7 days of initial treatment due to UTI,
the case was considered as a failure of previous treatment. In these cases, only the original visits were included in the study. We included women with diabetes because recent evidence suggests that diabetic women presenting with acute cystitis in primary care should be managed similarly to women without diabetes. We also included women aged ≥65 years, as treatment recommendations for otherwise healthy older women are similar to those for younger women. Each record was manually reviewed by a team member (GG or MG) to rule out the possibility of contraindication to all first-line antibiotics. All cases of acute uncomplicated cystitis during the study period were included in the analysis.

Outcome measure

The outcome of this study was in adherence to the IDSA guidelines for managing uncomplicated cystitis, both to medication choice and duration of therapy (figure 2). For example, prescribing a guideline-adherent antibiotic (nitrofurantoin) for excessive duration (7 days) would be counted as non-adherent. Likewise, prescribing ciprofloxacin (a non-first-line antibiotic choice) for the correct duration (3 days) would be counted as non-adherent. Prescribing a first-line agent (trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole) for the correct duration (3 days) would be counted as compliant. Likewise, prescribing nitrofurantoin for 5 days or a single dose of fosfomycin would be counted as compliant.

Intervention development

All activities in the intervention and control sites during the study period are described in table 2. In the first study period, we validated our electronic algorithm and obtained baseline data on the outcome. In the second study period, we interviewed providers to explore their prescribing decisions for UTI to help us understand why they were choosing certain drugs or durations of treatment. The findings from these interviews, published elsewhere, were used to develop educational materials (interactive case-based lecture) for the intervention. For example, we found that providers were misled by advanced patient age, diabetes and recurrent UTI to make inappropriate choices for acute cystitis. We therefore focused our teaching cases on these points, presenting actual cases of patients who had visited one of the clinics in the previous 2 months. Baseline period activities also

---

**Table 2** List of activities at intervention and control sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study period</th>
<th>Intervention site</th>
<th>Control site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline (July 2016 to March 2017)</td>
<td>Baseline measurements of outcomes</td>
<td>Baseline measurements of outcomes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Interviews (April 2017 to March 2018) | ▶ Interviews with providers about treatment of uncomplicated cystitis  
▶ Refine existing intervention materials based on interview results | Interviews with providers about treatment of uncomplicated cystitis |
| Intervention (April 2018 to February 2019) | ▶ Guidelines distribution (sent by email with read receipt received from all providers)  
▶ Intervention (targeting primary care providers)  
▶ Interactive case-based training lecture (included teaching cases to address specific clinical scenarios that were problematic for the interview participants)  
Pocket cards with algorithm distributed to all providers (figure 2); audit and feedback (at least one session per each of the 11 providers per month), 121 audit and feedback sessions (21 in person and 100 by phone) | ▶ Guidelines distribution (sent by email with read receipt received from all providers) |

---

<figure>

**Figure 2** Pocket card on choosing empirical antibiotic treatment for acute cystitis based on Infectious Diseases Society of America guidelines. bid, two times per day.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acute onset of urinary symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ▶ Dysuria  
▶ Frequency  
▶ Urgency  
▶ Absence of fever, flank pain, nausea and vomiting or other suspicion for pyelonephritis  
▶ Immunocompetent  
▶ No known urologic abnormalities  
▶ Nonpregnant |

Can one of the recommended antimicrobials below be used considering availability, allergy history, and toleration?

- Nitrofurantoin monohydrate/macrocrystals 100mg bid x 5 days (avoid if pyelonephritis suspected)
- Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (Bactrim, Septra) 100/800mg bid x 3 days
- Fosfomycin trometamol (Monurol) 3g single dose (avoid if pyelonephritis suspected)

---

**Consider one of the following treatments:**

- Ciprofloxacin 250mg bid x 3 days  
  OR
- Amoxicillin-Clavulanate 500/125mg bid x 3-7 days  
  OR
- Cefdinir 300mg bid x 3-7 days  
  OR
- Ceftazidime-avibactam 100mg bid x 3-7 days
Audit and feedback script (example)

‘Your patient (45 years old) presented with symptoms of urinary tract infection (UTI) (dysuria and urinary frequency), and you diagnosed her with UTI.

According to the guidelines, the first thing is to check whether the patient had any of the following symptoms of pyelonephritis: fever, flank pain, nausea and vomiting or other suspicion for pyelonephritis. Also, consider possible complicating factors such as urological abnormalities and immunocompromising conditions. Based on reviewing the chart, the patient didn’t seem to have pyelonephritis or a complicating condition. Therefore, this was likely a case of acute uncomplicated cystitis. The patient did not have allergies to any of the first-line recommended antibiotics for UTI (trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole, nitrofurantoin and fosfomycin). You decided to treat the patient empirically with nitrofurantoin for 7 days’.

Feedback

‘Your choice of antibiotic fits with the guidelines. However, according to Infectious Diseases Society of America guidelines, nitrofurantoin can be prescribed for 5 days. Therefore, consider shortening your duration of treatment with nitrofurantoin to 5 days for future cases’.

Statistical analysis

Sample size

In a previous US study, the adherence to cystitis management guidelines for antibiotic choice and treatment duration (our outcome) in the outpatient setting was 44%.21 We used this estimate and calculated the sample size of visits with cystitis needed at each of the two sites based on testing the differences in two independent proportions. We calculated that a total of 97 visits at each site would provide a power of 80% to detect an absolute difference of 20% in the postintervention rates between the two groups at a significance level of 0.05.

We used χ² test, Fisher exact test and t test to determine whether visit-level factors (age, race/ethnicity and Charlson Comorbidity Score) differed between the intervention and control sites. Difference-in-differences analysis was performed to determine intervention effectiveness using the composite outcome of guideline adherence in terms of antibiotic choice and duration of treatment. The difference-in-differences estimator is calculated by subtracting the change in proportion of guideline-adherent regimens between the intervention and baseline periods of the control site from the change in proportion of guideline-adherent regimens between the intervention and baseline periods of the intervention site.

We used log-binomial regression analyses for each outcome to calculate the relative risks (RRs) with 95% CIs and studied the interaction between study site (intervention and control) and study period (baseline, interview and intervention). We specifically separated the baseline and interview periods because the interviews included the development and validation of a search algorithm for identifying visits with UTI, as well as piloting of our decision aid (pocket card) (figure 2), and the audit and feedback intervention and script (box 1). We revised the existing audit and feedback script that we used in our previous successful intervention study21 in acute and long-term care to be used in the primary care setting.

The audit and feedback component of the intervention (based on feedback intervention theory)29 30 was a highly personalised, interactive, one-on-one intervention with primary care providers to improve their capacity to distinguish between uncomplicated cystitis and other UTI syndromes and to encourage them to prescribe a guideline-concordant antibiotic regimen. We also included information about first-line antibiotics recommended by the IDSA guidelines and AAFP and determined whether the antibiotic regimen prescribed by the providers was in accordance with the guidelines (box 1).

In the intervention period, we distributed guidelines at both sites (intervention and control). Distributing the guidelines addressed awareness, but we did not expect guideline dissemination alone to be an effective method to achieve behaviour change.31 32 At the intervention site, we also conducted a training session to help providers engage with and internalise guidelines content. Our educational session provided a detailed overview of the IDSA treatment guidelines; definitions for various UTI syndromes, including uncomplicated versus complicated UTI, and actual clinical examples. During our training session, we also taught the providers how to use the decision aid (figure 2). The investigators selected actual cases of UTI seen in the clinics to design both teaching cases to address the specific clinical scenarios that were problematic for the interview participants.

From April 2018 to February 2019, we performed an audit and feedback intervention, in which charts of women meeting study eligibility, as described in figure 1, were reviewed.

All cases of acute cystitis during the second phase of the study in the intervention clinic triggered a chart review. The patient’s EMR was reviewed to determine the type of antibiotic prescribed and the duration of treatment. Appropriateness of the treatment was determined by the research team (LG, GG, MG and BT), using the IDSA guidelines. Our team included two infectious diseases doctors, an infectious diseases epidemiologist and a primary care research fellow. We randomly selected one case per provider per month to reduce the burden on providers, and the research team contacted each provider in person or by phone to provide follow-up as to whether the treatment decision was in compliance with IDSA guidelines. We built the script for our audit–feedback intervention using our previously published script used in acute and long-term care settings.21 The feedback was given to providers in person or by phone by the principal investigator (LG) within 5–7 days of the patient visit through postprescription antimicrobial review, using the algorithm. Feedback was given in both scenarios—when the prescribing was in accordance with the guidelines and when antibiotic choice and/or duration was not in accordance with the guidelines.
may have affected providers’ prescribing behaviour. The interaction term of these two variables was the difference-in-differences estimator, and its coefficient reflected the magnitude of association between the intervention and the dependent outcome. All tests were two-sided, and \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. Analyses were performed using SPSS V.26. The study was approved by the institutional review board and ethics committee at both sites.

**RESULTS**

Figure 1 is a flow chart showing the study selection process to identify eligible visits with acute uncomplicated cystitis in the study period. After applying our prespecified exclusion criteria, our final sample included 932 visits representing 812 unique patients. Of these 932 visits, 546 were made at the intervention clinic and 386 at the control clinic. Table 3 presents the characteristics of patients at intervention and control clinics. Patients with uncomplicated cystitis visiting the control clinic were slightly younger than those in the intervention clinic (46.8 years versus 49 years). In both clinics, most patients were Caucasian (62.5% and 54.9%, respectively), followed by black, Asian and Hispanic patients. No significant differences were observed for race/ethnicity or Charlson Comorbidity Index.

**Guideline-adherent antibiotic regimen**

Table 4 summarises the proportion of guideline-adherent antibiotic regimens in each study period by study clinic. The overall proportion of guideline-adherent regimens increased both in the intervention and control clinics. For the baseline, interview and intervention periods, respectively, these values were 33.2%, 40.9% and 66.9% for the intervention clinic and 5.3%, 10.3% and 17.0% for the control clinic (table 4). The proportion of guideline-concordant prescriptions at baseline was higher in the intervention site (33.2%) than in the control site (5.3%). Using the difference-in-differences analysis, the estimated net change between the intervention and baseline periods that is attributable to the intervention is 22 percentage points (table 4).

Multivariable log-binomial regression analysis of guideline-adherent regimen demonstrated a significant interaction between study clinic and study period \((p=0.01)\), showing that the increase in guideline adherence was greater in the intervention site. All RRs derived from the regression analysis including the interaction term are presented in table 5. At the intervention site, the probability of prescribing a guideline-adherent regimen for uncomplicated cystitis was 12.7 times higher in the intervention period compared with the baseline period of the control clinic \((RR=12.7, 95\% CI 6.4 to 25.2)\) and 3.9 times higher compared with the intervention period of the control clinic \((RR=3.9, 95\% CI 2.4 to 6.3)\). At the control site, the probability of prescribing a guideline-adherent regimen was 3.2 times higher in the intervention period compared with the baseline period \((RR=3.2, 95\% CI 1.4 to 6.3)\).
Our qualitative study showed a treatment algorithm derived from the IDSA guidelines was embedded in the EMR to improve guideline adherence in a family medicine setting. We used a decision support tool and a patient with UTI-maximise feedback effectiveness. Besides improvements in the content being individualised for each recipient, and specific active, one-algorithm as a starting point to provide personalised, interactive, one-on-one feedback with providers to improve their capacity to distinguish between uncomplicated and complicated UTI and treat UTI appropriately. The content was individualised for each recipient, and specific information about the correct solution was included to maximise feedback effectiveness. Besides improvements in the clinical outcomes, we also observed that the intervention was received positively by feedback recipients.

Table 5  Effect of the intervention on the guideline adherence for uncomplicated cystitis (n=932)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Study period</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative risk (95% CI)*</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control site</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>1.952 (0.853 to 4.465)</td>
<td>3.239 (1.431 to 7.331)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention site</td>
<td>6.304 (3.128 to 12.704)</td>
<td>7.777 (3.880 to 15.590)</td>
<td>12.712 (6.411 to 25.206)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Relative risks are derived from the interaction between study site and study period (log-binomial regression model); adjusted for patient age.

discussion
In this difference-in-differences study, we implemented a multifaceted stewardship intervention that targeted inappropriate antibiotic choice and duration of treatment. An increased proportion of guideline-adherent prescriptions was observed in the intervention period of both intervention and control sites. However, in the difference-in-differences analysis, the intervention site had a significantly larger increase in adherence than the control site.

The audit and feedback stewardship intervention was successful for UTI treatment in emergency departments and acute and long-term care. In this study, we applied the audit and feedback intervention to primary care settings, where antibiotic stewardship is urgently needed. Our intervention was based on a treatment algorithm derived from the IDSA guidelines and AAFP recommendations on management of uncomplicated UTI. Our qualitative study showed that differentiating uncomplicated cystitis from other UTI syndromes is a challenge for providers; therefore, providing a set of diagnostic criteria for uncomplicated cystitis was important. This treatment algorithm describes steps that providers should take when encountered with a patient with UTI-relevant symptoms. We used this algorithm as a starting point to provide personalised, interactive, one-on-one feedback with providers to improve their capacity to distinguish between uncomplicated and complicated UTI and treat UTI appropriately. The content was individualised for each recipient, and specific information about the correct solution was included to maximise feedback effectiveness. Besides improvements in the clinical outcomes, we also observed that the intervention was received positively by feedback recipients.

Positive impacts of antibiotic stewardship interventions in the inpatient setting and emergency department have been well described. In contrast, fewer studies describe successful implementation of UTI antibiotic stewardship strategies in the US outpatient setting, where an estimated 80% of antibiotic use occurs. A previous study in a family medicine setting used a decision support tool embedded in the EMR to improve guideline adherence for uncomplicated UTI. However, the utilisation of the tool clinic-wide was only 29%. Our study, one of the first to use audit and feedback in family medicine, suggests that audit and feedback is an effective approach to antibiotic stewardship in outpatient primary care clinics, although it is labour intensive.

Our study has some limitations. First, we observed improved outcomes not only in the intervention site but also in control site, which may be due to spillover effect from the providers in the intervention site to their colleagues at the control site. The clinics are in the same geographical area, and the providers from both clinics have regularly scheduled faculty meetings. Another reason for improved outcomes at the intervention and control site may be the release of FDA warnings against fluoroquinolones in the intervention period, which may have contributed to avoidance of fluoroquinolones and increased adherence to the guidelines. However, in our previous interrupted time series analysis in the same setting, the 2016 FDA boxed warning against fluoroquinolone use for simple infections was not associated with a significant reduction in the rate of fluoroquinolone prescriptions for UTI. Second, we assessed the choice of antibiotics and duration of therapy as these aspects of the regimen had low concordance in our previous database study in the same clinics. We did not assess dose or frequency of antibiotics. Third, our study was conducted only at two clinics within the same healthcare system, and certain modifications might be needed for other healthcare systems such as public healthcare system. The proportion of guideline-adherent prescriptions at baseline was higher in the intervention site. This may be explained by a lower number of visits with uncomplicated cystitis in the baseline period in the control site, so the baseline difference between the clinics may be an artefact of small sample size. Local prescribing culture and social norms may have also contributed to the baseline differences in the outcome between the clinics; culture has been previously shown to lead to differences in antibiotic prescribing in other studies. However, different levels of outcome at baseline are acceptable for difference-in-differences analysis. There is no requirement that guideline-concordant prescriptions should be similar at baseline, and our data meet the parallel trend requirement for difference-in-differences analysis. Fourth, we did not perform a formal evaluation of implementation fidelity. Fifth, our quasi-experimental study was not randomised, and we did not
evaluate sustainability of the intervention. Perhaps most importantly, we noted that providing individualised audit and feedback is time-consuming and presents sustainability challenges.

CONCLUSION
In this difference-in-differences study, we demonstrated that our multifaceted stewardship intervention can improve the proportion of primary care visits for UTI in which women receive the right drug with the right duration, a fundamental aspect of antibiotic stewardship. Future dissemination of this intervention to additional primary care clinics may incorporate a component of computerised decision support built around our UTI treatment algorithm, in addition to case-based audit and feedback, to facilitate scale-up and sustainability.
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